

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

JOSE PADILLA, et al.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Case No. 2:07-410-HFF-RSC
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
DONALD H. RUMSFELD, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**INDIVIDUAL FEDERAL DEFENDANTS'  
NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Defendants Donald H. Rumsfeld, John Ashcroft, Paul Wolfowitz, Lowell E. Jacoby, Michael H. Mobbs, William Haynes, Catherine T. Hanft, Melanie A. Marr, Stephanie L. Wright, Mack Keen, Sandy Seymour, and Dr. Craig Noble (collectively, the “Individual Federal Defendants”) respectfully submit this Notice to alert the Court to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit’s recent decision in *Rasul v. Myers*, No. 06-5209, 2009 WL 1098707 (D.C. Cir. April 24, 2009) (“*Rasul II*”). In *Rasul II*, the District of Columbia Circuit, as instructed by the Supreme Court, reconsidered its decision in *Rasul v. Myers*, 512 F.3d 644 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (“*Rasul I*”) in light of the Supreme Court’s decision in *Boumediene v. Bush*, 553 U.S. ---- (2008). *See Rasul v. Myers*, 129 S. Ct. 733 (2008) (mem.).

In their Motion to Dismiss, the Individual Federal Defendants argued that Plaintiffs’ constitutional claims should be dismissed because there are special factors counseling hesitation that preclude the Court from creating a damages remedy for an enemy combatant against the federal officers, soldiers and officials responsible for his designation as an enemy combatant, and his detention and interrogation as such. *See* Individual Federal Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss at

13-25; Individual Federal Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Motion to Dismiss at 1-10. In a Notice of Supplemental Authority, filed on January 13, 2009, Plaintiffs argued that the Supreme Court's decision to vacate *Rasul I* and remand it for reconsideration in light of *Boumediene* undermined the Individual Federal Defendants' special factors argument. Specifically, Plaintiffs argued that “[t]he plain implication of the Supreme Court's ruling in *Rasul* [v. Myers, 129 S. Ct. 733 (2008) (mem.)] is that there is at least a colorable claim that *Bivens* remedies may be available even to non-citizen detainees held at Guantanamo.” *See* Plaintiffs' Notice of Supplemental Authority at 2. However, in *Rasul II*, the District of Columbia Circuit expressly recognized that *Boumediene* notwithstanding, the claims of the *Rasul* plaintiffs are foreclosed by special factors as well as qualified immunity. *See Rasul II*, 2009 WL 1098707 at \*4, n.5.

A copy of the *Rasul II* opinion is attached.

Dated: April 29, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

W. WALTER WILKINS  
United States Attorney for the  
District of South Carolina

S/ Barbara M. Bowens  
BARBARA M. BOWENS (I.D. #4004)  
Civil Chief  
United States Attorney's Office  
District of South Carolina  
First Union Building  
1441 Main Street Suite 500  
Columbia, SC 29201  
Telephone: (803) 929-3052  
Facsimile: (803) 254-2912  
E-mail: [barbara.bowens@usdoj.gov](mailto:barbara.bowens@usdoj.gov)

MICHAEL F. HERTZ  
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

TIMOTHY P. GARREN  
Director Torts Branch  
Civil Division

MARY HAMPTON MASON  
Senior Trial Counsel

GLENN S. GREENE (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
SARAH WHITMAN  
Trial Attorneys  
United States Department of Justice  
Torts Branch, Civil Division  
P.O. Box 7146  
Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Telephone: (202) 616-4143  
Facsimile: (202) 616-4314  
E-mail: [glenn.greene@usdoj.gov](mailto:glenn.greene@usdoj.gov)

*Attorneys for Defendants Donald H. Rumsfeld,  
John Ashcroft, Paul Wolfowitz, Lowell E.  
Jacoby, Michael H. Mobbs, William Haynes,  
Catherine T. Hanft, Melanie A. Marr,  
Stephanie L. Wright, Mack Keen, Sandy  
Seymour, Dr. Craig Noble, and Robert M.  
Gates*